

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
CASE NO. 3:21-CV-506-KDB-DCK**

**HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
METROLOGIC INSTRUMENTS, INC., and)
HAND HELD PRODUCTS, INC.,)**

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

OPTO ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,)

Defendant.)

ORDER

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on “Defendant’s Consent Motion To File Its Motion For Summary Judgment, Its Response To Honeywell’s Motion For Partial Summary Judgment, And Daubert Motion Under Seal” (Document No. 140) filed March 8, 2023. This motion has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and immediate review is appropriate. Having carefully considered the motion and the record, the undersigned will grant the motion.

A party who seeks to seal any pleading must comply with the Local Rules of this Court. Local Civil Rule (“LCvR”) 6.1 provides in relevant part as follows:

LCvR. 6.1 SEALED FILINGS AND PUBLIC ACCESS.

(a) ***Scope of Rule.*** To further openness in civil case proceedings, there is a presumption under applicable common law and the First Amendment that materials filed in this Court will be filed unsealed. This Rule governs any party’s request to seal, or otherwise restrict public access to, any materials filed with the Court or used in connection with judicial decision- making. As used in this Rule, “materials” includes pleadings and documents of any nature and in any medium or format.

(b) ***Filing under Seal.*** No materials may be filed under seal

except by Court order, pursuant to a statute, or in accordance with a previously entered Rule 26(e) protective order.

(c) ***Motion to Seal or Otherwise Restrict Public Access.*** A party's request to file materials under seal must be made by formal motion, separate from the motion or other pleading sought to be sealed, pursuant to LCvR 7.1. Such motion must be filed electronically under the designation "Motion to Seal." The motion must set forth:

- (1) A non-confidential description of the material sought to be sealed;
- (2) A statement indicating why sealing is necessary and why there are no alternatives to filing under seal;
- (3) Unless permanent sealing is sought, a statement indicating how long the party seeks to have the material maintained under seal and how the matter is to be handled upon unsealing; and
- (4) Supporting statutes, case law, or other authority.

LCvR 6.1. It appears that the requirements of LCvR 6.1(c)(1) through (4) have been adequately met.

By the instant motion, Defendant seeks to seal "Defendant's Memorandum In Support Of Its Motion For Summary Judgment" (Document No. 133), "Defendant's Response In Opposition To Plaintiffs' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment And Legal Determinations Regarding Contractual Interpretation" (Document No. 135), and "Defendant's Memorandum In Support Of Its Daubert Motion To Exclude Testimony Of David O. Taylor" (Document No. 138) and some of the supporting exhibits. See (Document No. 140); (Document No. 133-1); (Document No. 133-2); (Document No. 133-3); (Document No. 133-4); (Document No. 133-5); (Document No. 138-1); (Document No. 138-2); (Document No. 138-3). Defendant contends that these documents "contain quotations, descriptions, and references to information that Honeywell and/or OPTO has designated as Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Court's Protective Order."

(Document No. 141, p. 1).


Having considered the factors provided in LCvR 6.1(c), the Court will grant the motion to seal. Noting that the time for public response has not run to this motion, the Court will consider any objection to this Order from non-parties as an objection to the motion, requiring no additional burden for any non-party under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Local Rule 6.1(e).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that “Defendant’s Consent Motion To File Its Motion For Summary Judgment, Its Response To Honeywell’s Motion For Partial Summary Judgment, And Daubert Motion Under Seal” (Document No. 140) is **GRANTED**. Document Nos. 133, 135, 138, 133-1, 133-2, 133-3, 133-4, 133-5, 138-1, 138-2, and 138-3 shall remain under **SEAL** until otherwise ordered by this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant shall file publicly available, redacted versions of its briefs (Document Nos. 133, 135, and 138) on or before **March 14, 2023**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: March 10, 2023



David C. Keesler
United States Magistrate Judge

